# End to End Learning and Optimization on Graphs

Bryan Wilder<sup>1</sup>, Eric Ewing<sup>2</sup>, Bistra Dilkina<sup>2</sup>, Milind Tambe<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Harvard University <sup>2</sup>University of Southern California

To appear at NeurIPS 2019

#### Data

???

#### Decisions



#### Standard two stage: predict then optimize



#### **Standard two stage: predict then optimize**

# Challenge: misalignment between "accuracy" and decision quality



#### Pure end to end



#### Pure end to end

#### Challenge: optimization is hard



#### **Decision-focused learning: differential optimization during training**



#### **Decision-focused learning: differential optimization during training**

#### Challenge: how to make optimization differentiable?

#### Relax + differentiate

Forward pass: run a solver



#### Backward pass: sensitivity analysis via KKT conditions

### Relax + differentiate

- Convex QPs [Amos and Kolter 2018, Donti et al 2018]
- Linear and submodular programs [Wilder, Dilkina, Tambe 2019]
- MAXSAT (via SDP relaxation) [Wang, Donti, Wilder, Kolter 2019]
- MIPs [Ferber, Wilder, Dilkina, Tambe 2019]
  - Monday @ 11am, Room 612

# What's wrong with relaxations?

- Some problems don't have good ones
- Slow to solve continuous optimization problem
- Slower to backprop through  $O(n^3)$

#### This work

- Alternative: include solver for a **simpler** proxy problem
- Learn a **representation** that maps hard problem to simple one
- Instantiate this idea for a class of graph optimization problems

### Graph learning + optimization



#### Problem classes

- Partition the nodes into K disjoint groups
  - Community detection, maxcut, ...
- Select a subset of K nodes
  - Facility location, influence maximization, vaccination, ...
- Methods of choice are often combinatorial/discrete

### Approach

- Observation: grouping nodes into communities is a good heuristic
  - Partitioning: correspond to well-connected subgroups
  - Facility location: put one facility in each community
- Observation: graph learning approaches already embed into  $\mathbb{R}^n$

### Approach

- 1. Start with clustering algorithm (in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ )
  - Can (approximately) differentiate very quickly
- 2. Train embeddings (representation) to solve the particular problem
  - Automatically learning a good continuous relaxation!





• Option 1: differentiate through the fixed-point condition  $\mu^t = \mu^{t+1}$ 

• Prohibitively slow, memory-intensive

Backward pass

Backward pass • P • Opti

• Option 1: differentiate through the fixed-point condition  $\mu^t = \mu^{t+1}$ 

- Prohibitively slow, memory-intensive
- Option 2: unroll the entire series of updates
  - Cost scales with # iterations
  - Have to stick to differentiable operations

Backward pass

- Option 1: differentiate through the fixed-point condition  $\mu^t = \mu^{t+1}$ 
  - Prohibitively slow, memory-intensive
- Option 2: unroll the entire series of updates
  - Cost scales with # iterations
  - Have to stick to differentiable operations
- Option 3: get the solution, then unroll one update
  - Do anything to solve the forward pass
  - Linear time/memory, implemented in vanilla pytorch

**Theorem [informal]:** provided the clusters are sufficiently balanced and well-separated, the Option 3 approximate gradients converge exponentially quickly to the true ones.

Idea: show that this corresponds to approximating a particular term in the analytical fixed-point gradients.









#### Experiments

- Learning problem: link prediction
- **Optimization:** community detection and facility location problems
- Train **GCNs** as predictive component

### Example: community detection



$$\max_{r} \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{u,v \in V} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left[ A_{u,v} - \frac{d_{u}d_{v}}{2m} \right] r_{uk} r_{vk}$$
$$r_{uk} \in \{0,1\} \quad \forall u \in V, k = 1 \dots K$$
$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} r_{uk} = 1 \quad \forall u \in V$$

Observe partial graph

Predict unseen edges

Find communities

### Example: community detection



- Useful in scientific discovery (social groups, functional modules in biological networks)
- In applications, two-stage approach is common

[Yan & Gegory '12, Burgess et al '16, Berlusconi et al '16, Tan et al '16, Bahulker et al '18...]

#### Experiments

- Learning problem: link prediction
- **Optimization:** community detection and facility location problems
- Train **GCNs** as predictive component
- Comparison
  - Two stage: GCN + expert-designed algorithm (2Stage)
  - Pure end to end: Deep GCN to predict optimal solution (e2e)

#### Results: single-graph link prediction



Representative example from cora, citeseer, protein interaction, facebook, adolescent health networks

#### Results: generalization across graphs



#### **ClusterNet learns generalizable strategies for optimization!**

### Takeaways

- Good decisions require integrating learning and optimization
- Pure end-to-end methods miss out on useful structure
- Even simple optimization primitives provide good inductive bias

NeurIPS'19 paper, see <u>bryanwilder.github.io</u> Code available at <u>https://github.com/bwilder0/clusternet</u>